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Recent contributions:

- **A Large-Scale Study of Architectural Evolution in Open-Source Software Systems.**
  - Pooyan Behnamghader, Duc Le, Joshua Garcia, Daniel Link, Arman Shahbazian and Nenad Medvidovic.
  - Domains: Software Architecture Recovery, Mining Software Repository

- **Using Visual Symptoms for Debugging Presentation Failures in Web Applications**
  - Sonal Mahajan, Bailan Li, Pooyan Behnamghader, William G. J. Halfond
  - 2016 IEEE International Conference on Software Testing, Verification and Validation (ICST)
  - Domains: Software Testing, Web Interface Analysis

Both studies initially had **scalability** issues
A Large-Scale Study of Architectural Evolution in Open-Source Software Systems

Research Questions:

- RQ1: To what extent do architectures **change** at the system level?
- RQ2: To what extent do architectures **change** at the component level?
- RQ3: Do architectural **changes** at the system and component levels occur concurrently?
- RQ4: Does significant architectural **change** occur between minor system versions within a single major version?
Analysis Tool Requirements

- Architecture recovery techniques to extract architectural models from implementation level artifacts.
- Metrics to calculate system level and component level architectural changes.
What does architectural change mean?

Architecture A
- 4 clusters
- 14 entities

Architecture B
- 5 clusters
- 15 entities
Evolutionary Trend Example

Architectural changes among minor versions of apache-ant-ivy

Recovery techniques:
- PKG (gross organization)
- ACDC (module dependency)
- ARC (semantic view)

Change metric:
- A2A (System-level)
The Scale of the Study

The largest study of architectural recovery and architectural evolution to date:

- 23 subject systems
- 931 examined system versions
- 140 MSLOC analyzed code
- 2793 analyzed architectural models
- Comparing pairs of architectural models using two change metrics

The challenges in this scale:

- Dealing with issues of each case study
- Comparing the results of multiple analyses on same data points
- Collaborating in a team
Challenges

● Case Study
  ○ Different structure/modules/build automation tool for each system/version
    ■ e.g., the “core” module locates in a different subdirectory in older versions.
  ○ Unsuitable case studies
    ■ e.g., the architecture is too small to be meaningful for some specific analysis.

● Analysis
  ○ Implementing tool for a new technique that does not have any ground-truth
    ■ e.g. your algorithm is wrong or there is a bug in the implementation.
      ● You realize it when you see weird evolutionary patterns in your data
  ○ Using already existing of-the-shelf implementation of a solid technique
    ■ The implementation is simply buggy. (e.g. non-deterministic implementation)

● Teamwork!
It Would be Much Easier if...

- For each case study we could declare
  - the remote repository.
  - build command(s).
  - interesting modules, subsystems, or packages.
  - interesting version sets

- For each analysis we could declare
  - how to prepare the environment for the analysis.
  - how to run analysis on each system/version.
  - how to interpret and compare the results, and generate statistics.

- We could define a portable workflow to automatically run the study
  - on a powerful remote server.
  - distributed over the cloud.
ARCADE-Controller (ATLAS)

- Cloud Instances
  - Download and compile the source code
  - Run the analysis on the subject system
  - Send the artifacts to the analysis server
- Analysis Server
  - Compares the artifacts using change metrics
  - Gets the statistics
• The ability to define a solid workflow for the analysis
  ○ Replicability
• The ability to employ cloud-computing power to run large-scale analyses in a reasonable amount of time
  ○ Scalability
• The ability to use the same subject systems for different analyses
  ○ Data Consistency and Reusability
• The ability to run each analysis in a cloud instance as a sandbox
  ○ Running static (e.g., ARCADE) and dynamic (e.g., FieryEye\(^1\)) analysis

1. FieryEye is dynamic web-interface analyzer. We used the same technique to resolve the scalability issues for FieryEye.
New Results

- **Architectural evolution**
  - Architecture recovery and architectural changes: ACDC, ARC, PKG, A2A, CVG
- **All it takes from downloading the source code from the repository to generating the statistics is “push a button”!**
  - Both on a local machine or on the cloud
- **There are already several subject systems configured in the framework**
  - The capability to collect a large amount of data without much effort!
- **How about the evolution of other aspects (e.g., defects, style, debt) of a software system?**
  - FindBugs, PMD, CheckStyle, SonarQube, UCC, SLOCCount
FindBugs

- Finds bugs in Java programs.
- Analyze programs compiled for any version of Java.
- Requires binary releases
PMD

- Finds common programming flaws like:
  - unused variables
  - empty catch blocks
  - unnecessary object creation
  - ...
- Source code analyzer
- Supports a variety of languages
Checkstyle

- Helps programmers write Java code that adheres to a coding standard.
- Highly configurable
  - Sun Code Conventions
  - Google Java Style
SonarQube

- Manages code quality.
- Covers the 7 axes of code quality:

  - Architecture & Design
  - Comments
  - Coding rules
  - Duplications
  - Sources
  - Potential bugs
  - Complexity
  - Unit tests
  - LOC
  - BUGS
  - VULNERABILITIES
  - CODE SMELLS

http://www.sonarqube.org
UCC (Unified Code Count)

- Counts, compares, and collects logical differentials between two versions of the source code of a software product.

- USC Center for Systems and Software Engineering

SLOCCount (calculates basic COCOMO model)

- Counts physical source lines of code.
- Basic COCOMO model
  - Person-Months = 2.4 * (KSLOC**1.05)
  - Months = 2.5 * (person-months**0.38)
  - Estimated Average Number of Developers = Effort/Schedule
  - Average salary = $56,286/year, overhead = 2.40.
Discussion

- **Suggestions**
  - What other static analysis tools can be added to the framework?
  - What dynamic analysis tools can be added to the framework?
  - Would it be interesting if we extend the framework to study difference between commits and the impact of each developer?